arXiv:1204.4297v2 [math.OA] 20 Apr 2012

# DERIVATIONS ON SYMMETRIC QUASI-BANACH IDEALS OF COMPACT OPERATORS

A. F. BER, V. I. CHILIN, G. B. LEVITINA, AND F. A. SUKOCHEV

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ be symmetric quasi-Banach ideals of compact operators on an infinite-dimensional complex Hilbert space $H$, let $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$ be a space of multipliers from $\mathcal{I}$ to $\mathcal{J}$. Obviously, ideals $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ are quasi-Banach algebras and it is clear that ideal $\mathcal{J}$ is a bimodule for $\mathcal{I}$. We study the set of all derivations from $\mathcal{I}$ into $\mathcal{J}$. We show that any such derivation is automatically continuous and there exists an operator $a \in \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$ such that $\delta(\cdot) = [a, \cdot]$, moreover $\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \leq \|\delta\|_{\mathcal{I}\to\mathcal{J}} \leq 2C\|a\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}$, where $C$ is the modulus of concavity of the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}}$. In the special case, when $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{K}(H)$ is a symmetric Banach ideal of compact operators on $H$ our result yields the classical fact that any derivation $\delta$ on $\mathcal{K}(H)$ may be written as $\delta(\cdot) = [a, \cdot]$, where $a$ is some bounded operator on $H$ and $\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \leq \|\delta\|_{\mathcal{I}\to\mathcal{I}} \leq 2\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}$.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ be ideals of compact operators on $H$. Obviously, $\mathcal{J}$ is an $\mathcal{I}$-module and we can consider the set $\mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ of all derivations $\delta\colon \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{J}$. Consider two closely related questions (here, $\mathcal{B}(H)$ is the set of all bounded linear operators on $H$):

**Question 1.1.** *Let $\delta \in \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$. Does there exist a bounded operator $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $\delta(x) = [a, x]$ for every $x \in \mathcal{I}$?*

**Question 1.2.** *What is the set $D(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) = \{a \in \mathcal{B}(H) : \quad [a, x] \in \mathcal{J},\ \forall x \in \mathcal{I}\}$?*

The second question was completely answered by M. J. Hoffman in [8], who also coined the term $\mathcal{J}$-essential commutant of $\mathcal{I}$ for the set $D(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$. We completely answer the first question in the setting when the ideals $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ are symmetric quasi-Banach (see precise definition in the next section). In this setting, it is also natural to ask

**Question 1.3.** *Let $\delta \in \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$. Is it continuous?*

Of course, if $\delta \in \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is such that $\delta(x) = [a, x]$ for some $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ (that is when $\delta$ is implemented by the operator $a$), then $\delta$ is a continuous mapping from $(\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}})$ to $(\mathcal{J}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}})$, that is a positive answer to Question 1.1 implies also a positive answer to Question 1.3. However, in this paper, we are establishing a positive answer to Question 1.1 via firstly answering Question 1.3 in positive. Both these results (Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2) are proven in Section 3. We also provide a detailed discussion of the $\mathcal{J}$-essential commutant of $\mathcal{I}$ in Section 4.

It is also instructive to outline a connection between Questions 1.1 and 1.3 with some classical results. It is well known ([18], Lemma 4.1.3) that every derivation on a $C^*$-algebra is norm continuous. In fact, this also easily follows from the following well-known fact ([18], Corollary 4.1.7) that every derivation on a $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ is given by a reduction of an inner derivation on a von Neumann algebra $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{wo}$ (the weak closure of $\mathcal{M}$ in the $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{B}(H)$). The latter result ([18], Lemma 4.1.4 and Theorem 4.1.6), in the setting when $\mathcal{M}$ is a $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{K}(H)$ of all compact operators on $H$ states that for every derivation $\delta$ on $\mathcal{M}$ there exists an operator $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $\delta(x) = [a, x]$ for every $x \in \mathcal{K}(H)$, in addition, $\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \leqslant \|\delta\|_{\mathcal{M}\to\mathcal{M}}$. The ideal $\mathcal{K}(H)$ equipped with the uniform norm is an element from the class of so-called symmetric Banach operator ideals in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and evidently this example also suggests the statements of Questions 1.1 and 1.3. In the case of Schatten ideals $C_p(H) = \{x \in \mathcal{K}(H) : \|x\|_p = \mathrm{tr}(|x|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty\}$, where $|x| = (x^*x)^{\frac{1}{2}}, 1 \leqslant p < \infty$, somewhat similar problems concerning derivations from $C_p(H)$ to $C_r(H)$ were also considered in the work by E.Kissin and V.S.Shulman [13]. In particular, it is shown in [13] that every closed $*$-derivation $\delta$ from $C_p(H)$ to $C_r(H)$ is implemented by a symmetric operator $S$, in addition the domain $D(\delta)$ of $\delta$ is dense $*$-subalgebra in $C_p(H)$. In our case, we have $D(\delta) = C_p$ and it follows from our results that the derivation $\delta$ is necessarily continuous and implemented by an operator $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

It is also worth to mention that Hoffman's results in [8] were an extension of earlier results by J.W.Calkin [3] who considered the case when $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{B}(H)$. Recently, Calkin's and Hoffman's results were extended to the setting of general von Neumann algebras in [2] and, in the special setting when $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{J}$, Questions 1.1 and 1.3 were also discussed in [1]. However, our methods in this paper are quite different from all the approaches applied in [2, 3, 8, 13].

As a corollary of solving Questions 1.1 and 1.3, in Theorem 3.6 we present a description of all derivations $\delta$ acting from a symmetric quasi-Banach ideal $\mathcal{I}$ into a symmetric quasi-Banach ideal $\mathcal{J}$. Indeed, every such derivation $\delta$ is an inner derivation $\delta(\cdot) = \delta_a(\cdot) = [a, \cdot]$, where $a$ is some operator from $\mathcal{J}$-dual space $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$ of $\mathcal{I}$. Recall that $D(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) = \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I} + \mathbb{C}\mathbb{1}$ [8], where $\mathbb{1}$ is the identity operator in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. Theorem 3.6 gives a complete answer to Question 1.2. In particular, using the equality $C_r : C_p = C_q, 0 < r < p < \infty, \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}$ we recover Hoffman's result that any derivation $\delta\colon C_p \to C_r$ has a form $\delta = \delta_a$ for some $a \in C_q$. If $0 < p \leqslant r < \infty$, then $D(C_p, C_r) = \mathcal{B}(H)$.

When $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ are arbitrary symmetric quasi-Banach ideals of compact operators and $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$, then $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{B}(H)$, and, in this case, a linear operator $\delta\colon \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{J}$ is a derivation if and only if $\delta = \delta_a$ for some $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. However, if $\mathcal{I} \nsubseteq \mathcal{J}$, then to obtain a complete description of $\mathcal{J}$-essential commutant of $\mathcal{I}$ we need a procedure of finding $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$.

To this end, we use the classical Calkin's correspondence between two-sided ideals $\mathcal{I}$ of compact operators and rearrangement invariant solid sequence subspaces $E_{\mathcal{I}}$ of the space $c_0$ of null sequences. The meaning of this correspondence is the following. Take a compact operator $x \in \mathcal{I}$ and consider a sequence of eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in c_0$. For each sequence $\xi = \{\xi_n\} \in c_0$, let $\xi^* = \{\xi_n^*\}_{n=1}^\infty$ denote a decreasing rearrangement of the sequence $|\xi| = \{|\xi_n|\}_{n=1}^\infty$. The set

$$E_{\mathcal{I}} := \{\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in c_0 : \{\xi_n^*\}_{n=1}^\infty = \{\lambda_n^*(|x|)\}_{n=1}^\infty \text{ for some } x \in \mathcal{I}\},$$

is a solid linear subspace in the Banach lattice $c_0$. In addition, the space $E_{\mathcal{I}}$ is rearrangement invariant, that is if $\eta \in c_0, \xi \in E_{\mathcal{I}}, \eta^* = \xi^*$, then $\eta \in E_{\mathcal{I}}$. Conversely, if $E$ is a rearrangement invariant solid sequence subspace in $c_0$, then

$$C_E = \{x \in \mathcal{K}(H) : \{\lambda_n(|x|)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in E\}$$

is a two-sided ideal of compact operators from $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

For the proof of the following theorem we refer to Calkin's original paper, [3], and to B. Simon's book, ([20],Theorem 2.5).

**Theorem 1.4.** *The correspondence $\mathcal{I} \leftrightarrow E_{\mathcal{I}}$ is a bijection between rearrangement invariant solid spaces in $c_0$ and two-sided ideals of compact operators.*

In the recent paper [11] this correspondence has been extended to symmetric quasi-Banach (Banach) ideals and $p$-convex symmetric quasi-Banach (Banach) sequence spaces. We use the notation $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ to denote the uniform norm on $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and on $l_\infty$ respectively.

Recall, that a two-sided ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of compact operators from $B(H)$ is said to be symmetric quasi-Banach (Banach) ideal if it is equipped with a complete quasi-norm (respectively, norm) $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ such that

$$\|axb\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant \|a\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}\|x\|_{\mathcal{I}}\|b\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}, \quad x \in \mathcal{I}, a, b \in \mathcal{B}(H).$$

A symmetric sequence space $E \subset c_0$ is a rearrangement invariant solid sequence space equipped with a complete quasi-norm (respectively, norm) $\|\cdot\|_E$ such that $\|\eta\|_E \leq \|\xi\|_E$ for every $\xi \in E$ and $\eta \in c_0$ such that $\eta^* \leqslant \xi^*$.

It is clear that if $(\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}})$ is a symmetric quasi-Banach ideal of compact operators, $x \in \mathcal{I}$ and $y \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is such that $\{\lambda_n^*(|y|)\}_{n=1}^\infty \leqslant \{\lambda_n^*(|x|)\}_{n=1}^\infty$, then $y \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\|y\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}}$. In Theorem 4.4 we show that if $E_{\mathcal{I}}$ is a symmetric space in $c_0$ corresponding to symmetric quasi-Banach ideal $\mathcal{I}$, then setting $\|\xi\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} := \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ (where $x \in \mathcal{I}$ is such that $\xi^* = \{\lambda_n^*(|x|)\}_{n=1}^\infty$) we obtain that $(E_{\mathcal{I}}, \|\cdot\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}})$ is a symmetric quasi-Banach sequence space. The converse implication is much harder [11].

**Theorem 1.5.** *If $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a symmetric Banach (respectively, $p$-convex symmetric quasi-Banach) sequence space in $c_0$, then $C_E$ equipped with the norm*

$$\|x\|_{C_E} := \|\{\lambda_n^*(|x|)\}_{n=1}^\infty\|_E$$

*is a symmetric Banach (respectively, $p$-convex quasi-Banach) ideal of compact operators from $\mathcal{B}(H)$.*

In [6] it was shown that for $\mathcal{J} = C_1$ is the trace class and an arbitrary two-sided ideal $\mathcal{I}$ with $C_1 \subset \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{K}(H)$ the $C_1$-dual space (also sometimes called the Köthe dual) $\mathcal{I}^\times := C_1 : \mathcal{I}$ of $\mathcal{I}$ is precisely an ideal corresponding to symmetric sequence space $l_1 : E_{\mathcal{I}}$, where $l_1 : E_{\mathcal{I}}$ is $l_1$-dual space of $E_{\mathcal{I}}$ (see precise definitions in section 4). If $\mathcal{I}$ is a symmetric Banach ideal of compact operators, then $C_1$-dual space $\mathcal{I}^\times$ is symmetric Banach ideal of compact operator and norms on $C_1 : \mathcal{I}$ and $C_{l_1:E_{\mathcal{I}}}$ are equal [4]. We extend these results to arbitrary symmetric quasi-Banach ideals $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ of compact operators with $\mathcal{I} \nsubseteq \mathcal{J}$, that allows to describe completely all derivations from one symmetric quasi-Banach ideal to another. In addition, we use the technique of $\mathcal{J}$-dual spaces in order to obtain the estimation $\|\delta_a\|_{\mathcal{I}\to\mathcal{J}} \leqslant 2\|a\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}$ for an arbitrary derivation $\delta = \delta_a \colon \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{J}, a \in \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$. This result extends a well-known estimation $\|\delta_a\|_{\mathcal{M}\to\mathcal{M}} \leqslant 2\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}, a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ obtained by L.Zsido [22] for a derivation $\delta_a$ acting in an arbitrary von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $H$ be an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers and $\mathcal{B}(H)$ be the $C^*$-algebra of all bounded linear operators on $H$. Set

$$\mathcal{B}_h(H) = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(H) : x^* = x\},$$
$$\mathcal{B}_+(H) = \{x \in \mathcal{B}_h(H) : \forall \varphi \in H \quad (x(\varphi), \varphi) \geqslant 0\},$$
$$\mathcal{P}(H) = \{p \in \mathcal{B}(H) : p = p^2 = p^*\}.$$

It is well known ([9], Ch. 2, §4) that $\mathcal{B}_+(H)$ is a proper cone in $\mathcal{B}_h(H)$ and with the partial order given by $x \leqslant y \Leftrightarrow y - x \in \mathcal{B}_+(H)$ the set $\mathcal{B}_h(H)$ is a partially ordered vector space over the field $\mathbb{R}$ of real numbers, satisfying $y^*xy \geqslant 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{B}(H), x \in \mathcal{B}_+(H)$. Note, that $-\|x\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}\mathbb{1} \leqslant x \leqslant \|x\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}\mathbb{1}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{B}_h(H)$. It is known (see e.g. [9], Ch.4, §2, Proposition 4.2.3) that every operator $x$ in $\mathcal{B}_h(H)$ can be uniquely written as follows: $x = x_+ - x_-$, where $x_+, x_- \in \mathcal{B}_+(H)$ and $x_+x_- = 0$. In addition, every operator $x \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ can be represented as $x = u|x|$, where $|x| = (x^*x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $u$ is a partial isometry in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $u^*u$ is the right support of $x$ ([17], Ch. VI, §5, Theorem VI.10).

We need the following useful proposition.

**Proposition 2.1.** *([16], Ch. 2, §4, Proposition 2.4.3) If $x, y \in \mathcal{B}_+(H), x \leqslant y$, then there exists an operator $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \leqslant 1$ and $x = a^*ya$.*

Let $\mathcal{K}(H)$ be a two-sided ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ of all compact operators and $x \in \mathcal{K}(H)$. The eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n(|x|)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of the operator $|x|$ arranged in decreasing order and repeated according to algebraic multiplicity are called singular values of the operator $x$, i.e. $s_n(x) = \lambda_n(|x|), n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\lambda_1(|x|) \geqslant \lambda_2(|x|) \geqslant \dots$ and $\mathbb{N}$ is the set of all natural numbers. We need the following properties of singular values.

**Proposition 2.2.** *([7], Ch.II ) a)* $s_n(x) = s_n(x^*), s_n(\alpha x) = |\alpha| s_n(x)$ *for all* $x \in \mathcal{K}(H), \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$;

*b)* $s_n(xb) \leqslant s_n(x)\|b\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}, s_n(bx) \leqslant s_n(x)\|b\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}$ *for all* $x \in \mathcal{K}(H), b \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Let $\mathcal{F}(H)$ be a two-sided ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ of all operators with finite range and let $\mathcal{I}$ be an arbitrary proper two-sided ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. Then $\mathcal{I}$ is a $*$-ideal ([9], Ch.6, §8, Proposition 6.8.9) and the following inclusion holds: $\mathcal{F}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ ([9], Ch.6, §8, Theorem 6.8.3), in particular, $\mathcal{I}$ contains all finite-dimensional projections from $\mathcal{P}(H)$. If $H$ is a separable Hilbert space, then the converse inclusion $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{K}(H)$ also holds ([3], Theorem 1.4). If, however, $H$ is not separable, then for proper two-sided ideals in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.3.** *([6], Proposition 1) (i)* $\mathcal{D} = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(H) : x(H) \text{ is separable } \}$ *is a proper two-sided ideal in* $\mathcal{B}(H)$, *in addition* $\mathcal{K}(H) \subset \mathcal{D}$;

*(ii) If* $\mathcal{I}$ *is an ideal in* $\mathcal{B}(H)$, *then either* $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{K}(H)$ *or* $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$.

Let $X$ be a linear space over the field $\mathbb{C}$. A function $\|\cdot\|$ from $X$ to $\mathbb{R}$ is a quasi-norm, if for all $x, y \in X, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ the following properties hold:

1) $\|x\| \geqslant 0, \|x\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$;
2) $\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha|\|x\|$;
3) $\|x + y\| \leqslant C(\|x\| + \|y\|), C \geqslant 1$;

The couple $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a quasi-normed space and the least of all constants $C$ satisfying the inequality 3) above is called the modulus of concavity of the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|$.

It is known (see e.g. [10], §1) that for each quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $X$ there exists an equivalent $p$-additive quasi-norm $|||\cdot|||$, that is a quasi-norm $|||\cdot|||$ on $X$ satisfying the following property of $p$-additivity: $|||x + y|||^p \leqslant |||x\,|||^p + |||\,y|||^p$, where $p$ is such that $C = 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1}$, in particular, $0 < p \leqslant 1$ since $C \geqslant 1$. In this case, the function $d : X^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $d(x, y) := |||x - y|||^p$, $x, y \in X$ is an invariant metric on $X$, and in the topology $\tau_d$, generated by the metric $d$, the linear space $X$ is a topological vector space. If $(X, d)$ is a complete metric space, then $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a quasi-Banach space and the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|$ is a complete quasi-norm; in this case, $(X, \tau_d)$ is an $F$-space.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let* $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ *be a quasi-Banach space with the modulus of concavity* $C$, *let* $|||\cdot|||$ *be a* $p$*-additive quasi-norm equivalent to the quasi-norm* $\|\cdot\|, C = 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1}$. *If* $x_n \in X$, $n \geq 1$ *and* $\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} |||x_n|||^p < \infty$, *then the series* $\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ *converges in* $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, *i.e. there exists* $x \in X$ *such that* $\|x - \sum\limits_{n=1}^{k} x_n\| \to 0$ *for* $k \to \infty$.

*Proof.* For partial sums $S_k = \sum\limits_{n=1}^{k} x_n$ we have

$$d(S_{k+l}, S_k) = |||S_{k+l} - S_k|||^p = |||\sum_{n=l+1}^{k+l} x_n|||^p \leqslant \sum_{n=l+1}^{k+l} |||x_n|||^p \to 0 \text{ for } k, l \to \infty,$$

i.e. $\{S_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(X, d)$. Since the metric space $(X, d)$ is complete, there exists $x \in X$ such that $d(S_k, x) = |||S_k - x|||^p \to 0$ for $k \to \infty$. Since quasi-norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $|||\cdot|||$ are equivalent we have that $\|S_k - x\| \to 0$ for $k \to \infty$. □

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X), (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ be quasi-normed spaces and $\mathcal{B}(X,Y)$ be the linear space of all bounded linear mappings $T: X \to Y$. For each $T \in \mathcal{B}(X,Y)$ set $\|T\|_{\mathcal{B}(X,Y)} = \sup\{\|Tx\|_Y : \|x\| \leqslant 1\}$. As in the case of normed spaces, the set $\mathcal{B}(X,Y)$ coincides with the set of all continuous linear mappings from $X$ to $Y$, moreover, the function $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}(X,Y)} \colon \mathcal{B}(X,Y) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a quasi-norm on $\mathcal{B}(X,Y)$ whose modulus of concavity, does not exceed the modulus of concavity of the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_Y$. Furthermore, $\|Tx\|_Y \leqslant \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}(X,Y)}\|x\|_X$ for all $T \in \mathcal{B}(X,Y)$ and $x \in X$.

**Proposition 2.5.** *If $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ is a quasi-Banach space, then $(\mathcal{B}(X,Y), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}(X,Y)})$ is a quasi-Banach space too.*

*Proof.* Since $\|\cdot\|_Y$ is a quasi-norm on $Y$, there exists a $p$-additive quasi-norm $|||\cdot|||_Y$ equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_Y$, i.e. $\alpha_1 \, ||| y|||_Y \leqslant \|y\|_Y \leqslant \beta_1 \, ||| y|||_Y$ for all $y \in Y$ and some constants $\alpha_1, \beta_1 > 0$. Similarly, there exists a $q$-additive quasi-norm $|||\cdot|||_{\mathcal{B}(X,Y)}$ equivalent to the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}(X,Y)}$, i.e. $\alpha_2 \, |||T|||_{\mathcal{B}(X,Y)} \leqslant \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}(X,Y)} \leqslant \beta_2 \, ||| T|||_{\mathcal{B}(X,Y)}$ for all $T \in \mathcal{B}(X,Y)$ and some $\alpha_2, \beta_2 > 0, 0 < p, q \leqslant 1$.

Let $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $(\mathcal{B}(X,Y), d)$, where $d(T,S) = |||T - S|||^q_{\mathcal{B}(X,Y)}, T, S \in \mathcal{B}(X,Y)$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and select a positive integer $n(\varepsilon)$ such that $|||T_n - T_m|||^q_{\mathcal{B}(X,Y)} < \varepsilon^q$ for all $n, m \geqslant n(\varepsilon)$. For every $x \in X$ we have

$$||| \, T_n x - T_m x|||^p_Y \leqslant \frac{1}{\alpha_1^p}\|T_n x - T_m x\|^p_Y \leqslant \frac{1}{\alpha_1^p}\|T_n - T_m\|^p_{\mathcal{B}(X,Y)}\|x\|^p_X \leqslant$$
$$\leqslant \left(\frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_1}\right)^p ||| \, T_n - T_m \, |||^p_{\mathcal{B}(X,Y)} \, \|x\|^p_X < \left(\frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_1}\right)^p \|x\|^p_X \varepsilon^p \text{ for } n, m \geqslant n(\varepsilon).$$

Thus, $\{T_n x\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(Y, d_Y)$, where $d_Y(x,y) = |||x - y|||^p_Y$. Since the metric space $(Y, d_Y)$ is complete, there exists $T(x) \in Y$ such that $|||T_n(x) - T(x)|||^p_Y \to 0$ for $n \to \infty$. The verification that $T \in \mathcal{B}(X,Y)$ and $|||T_n - T|||^q_{\mathcal{B}(X,Y)} \to 0$ for $n \to \infty$ is routine and is therefore omitted. □

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a nonzero two-sided ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

A quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}} : \mathcal{I} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called symmetric quasi-norm if

1) $\|axb\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant \|a\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}\|x\|_{\mathcal{I}}\|b\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{I}, a, b \in \mathcal{B}(H)$;

2) $\|p\|_{\mathcal{I}} = 1$ for any one-dimensional projection $p \in \mathcal{I}$.

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ be a symmetric quasi-norm on two-sided ideal $\mathcal{I}$. Then*

*a) $\|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|x^*\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \big\||x|\big\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{I}$;*

*b) If $x \in \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{K}(H), y \in \mathcal{K}(H), s_n(y) \leqslant s_n(x), n = 1, 2, \ldots,$ then $y \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\|y\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}}$;*

*c) If $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{K}(H)$, then $\|x\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \leqslant \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{I}$.*

*Proof.* a) Let $x = u|x|$ be the polar decomposition of the operator $x$. Then $\|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|u|x|\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant \big\||x|\big\|_{\mathcal{I}}$. Since $u^*x = |x|$, the inequality $\big\||x|\big\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ holds and so $\big\||x|\big\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}}$. Using the equalities $x^* = |x|u^*, x^*u = |x|$ in the same manner, we obtain that $\big\||x|\big\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|x^*\|_{\mathcal{I}}$.

b) Since $x, y$ are compact operators and $s_n(y) \leqslant s_n(x)$ we have $s_n(y) = \alpha_n s_n(x)$, where $0 \leqslant \alpha_n \leqslant 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Hilbert-Schmidt theorem, there exists an orthogonal system of eigenvectors $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for the operator $|y|$ such that $|y|(\varphi) = \sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n(y) c_n \varphi_n$, where $c_n = (\varphi, \varphi_n), \varphi \in H$. Since $s_n(y) = \alpha_n s_n(x)$, it follows that $\operatorname{card}\{\varphi_n\} \leqslant \operatorname{card}\{\psi_n\}$, where $\{\psi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthogonal system of eigenvectors for the operator $|x|$. Thus, there exists a unitary operator $u \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $u(\psi_n) = \varphi_n$, in addition, $u|x|u^{-1} \geqslant |y|$.

By Proposition 2.1, there exists an operator $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with $\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \leqslant 1$ such that $|y| = a^* u|x|u^{-1}a$. Consequently, $|y| \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\big\||y|\big\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant \big\||x|\big\|_{\mathcal{I}}$, thus $y \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\|y\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}}$.

c) Let $y(\cdot) = s_1(x)(\cdot, \varphi)\varphi$, where $\varphi$ is an arbitrary vector in $H$ with $\|\varphi\|_H = 1$. Whereas $s_n(y) \leqslant s_n(x)$, we have $\|x\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} = s_1(x) = \|y\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} = \|y\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ (see b)). □

A two-sided ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of compact operators from $\mathcal{B}(H)$ is called a symmetric quasi-Banach (respectively, Banach) ideal, if $\mathcal{I}$ is equipped with a complete symmetric quasi-norm (respectively, norm).

Let $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ be two-sided ideals of compact operators from $\mathcal{B}(H)$. A linear mapping $\delta : \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{J}$ is called a derivation, if $\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{I}$. If, in addition, $\delta(x^*) = (\delta(x))^*$ for all $x \in \mathcal{I}$, then $\delta$ is called a $*$-derivation.

For each derivation $\delta : \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{J}$ define mappings $\delta_{\mathrm{Re}}(x) := \frac{\delta(x)+\delta(x^*)^*}{2}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{Im}}(x) := \frac{\delta(x)-\delta(x^*)^*}{2i}, x \in \mathcal{I}$. It is easy to see that $\delta_{\mathrm{Re}}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{Im}}$ are *-derivations from $\mathcal{I}$ to $\mathcal{J}$, moreover $\delta = \delta_{\mathrm{Re}} + i\delta_{\mathrm{Im}}$.

If $a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathrm{H})$, then the mapping $\delta_a : \mathcal{B}(H) \to \mathcal{B}(H)$ given by $\delta_a(x) := [a, x] = ax - xa$, $x \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, is a derivation. Derivations of this type are called inner. When $\mathcal{I}$ is a two-sided ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, then $\delta_a(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{I}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. If $\mathcal{J}$ is also a two-sided ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and $a \in \mathcal{J}$, then $\delta_a(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{J}$.

## 3. THE SET DER($\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$) FOR SYMMETRIC QUASI-BANACH IDEALS $\mathcal{I}$ AND $\mathcal{J}$

The following theorem gives a positive answer to question 1.3.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ be symmetric quasi-Banach ideals of compact operators from $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\delta$ is a derivation from $\mathcal{I}$ to $\mathcal{J}$. Then $\delta$ is a continuous mapping from $\mathcal{I}$ to $\mathcal{J}$, i.e. $\delta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we may assume that $\delta$ is a $*$-derivation. The spaces $(\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}), (\mathcal{J}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}})$ are $F$-spaces, and therefore it is sufficient to prove that the graph of $\delta$ is closed. Suppose a contrary, that is there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{I}$ such that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}} - \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} x_n = 0$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}} - \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \delta(x_n) = x \neq 0$.

Since $x_n = \mathrm{Re}x_n + i\mathrm{Im}x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\mathrm{Re}x_n = \frac{x_n+x_n^*}{2}, \mathrm{Im}x_n = \frac{x_n-x_n^*}{2}$, and $\|x_n\|_{\mathcal{I}} \to 0, \|x_n^*\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|x_n\|_{\mathcal{I}} \to 0$, we have

$$\|\mathrm{Re}x_n\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \left\|\frac{x_n + x_n^*}{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant \frac{C(\|x_n\|_{\mathcal{I}} + \|x_n^*\|_{\mathcal{I}})}{2} \to 0$$

and

$$\|\mathrm{Im}x_n\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \left\|\frac{x_n - x_n^*}{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant \frac{C(\|x_n\|_{\mathcal{I}} + \|x_n^*\|_{\mathcal{I}})}{2} \to 0,$$

where $C$ is the modulus of concavity of the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$. Consequently, we may assume that $x_n^* = x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case, from the relationships

$$x \xleftarrow{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}}} \delta(x_n) = \delta(x_n^*) = \delta(x_n)^* \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}}} x^*,$$

we obtain $x = x^*$.

Writing $x = x_+ - x_-$, where $x_+, x_- \geqslant 0$ and $x_+x_- = 0$, we may assume that $x_+ \neq 0$, otherwise we consider the sequence $\{-x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. Since $x_+$ is a nonzero positive compact operator, $\lambda = \|x_+\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}$ is an eigenvalue of $x_+$ corresponding to a finite-dimensional eigensubspace. Let $q$ be a projection onto this subspace.

Fix an arbitrary non-zero vector $\varphi \in q(H)$ and consider the projection $p$ onto the one-dimensional subspace spanned by $\varphi$. Combining the inequality $p \leqslant q$ with the equality $qx_+q = \lambda q$, we obtain $pxp = pqxqp = \lambda pqp = \lambda p$. Replacing, if necessary, the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with the sequence $\{\frac{x_n}{\lambda}\}_{n=1}^\infty$, we may assume

$$pxp = p. \tag{1}$$

Since $p$ is one-dimensional, it follows that $pap = \alpha p, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ for any operator $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, in particular, $px_np = \alpha_n p$, therefore $|\alpha_n| = \|px_np\|_{\mathcal{I}} \to 0$ for $n \to \infty$. Writing

$$\|\delta(p)x_np\|_{\mathcal{J}} \leqslant \|\delta(p)\|_{\mathcal{J}}\|x_np\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \leqslant \|\delta(p)\|_{\mathcal{J}}\|x_n\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \leqslant \|\delta(p)\|_{\mathcal{J}}\|x_n\|_{\mathcal{I}},$$

we infer $\|\delta(p)x_np\|_{\mathcal{J}} \to 0$ and $\|px_n\delta(p)\|_{\mathcal{J}} = \|(\delta(p)x_np)^*\|_{\mathcal{J}} \to 0$.

Since $pxp \overset{(1)}{=} p \in \mathcal{J}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\delta(px_np) - pxp\|_J &= \|\delta(p)x_np + p\delta(x_n)p + px_n\delta(p) - pxp\|_{\mathcal{J}} \leqslant \\ &\leqslant C\|\delta(p)x_np + px_n\delta(p)\|_J + C\|p\delta(x_n)p - pxp\|_J \leqslant \\ &\leqslant C^2\|\delta(p)x_np\|_J + C^2\|px_n\delta(p)\|_J + C\|p\delta(x_n)p - pxp\|_J \to 0,\end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\delta(px_np) \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}}} pxp$. Hence

$$p \overset{(1)}{=} pxp = \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}} - \lim_{n\to\infty} \delta(px_np) = \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}} - \lim_{n\to\infty} \delta(\alpha_n p) = \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}} - \lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n\delta(p) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction, since $p \neq 0$.

Consequently, $\delta$ is a continuous mapping from $(\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}})$ to $(\mathcal{J}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}})$. □

Note, that in ([1], Theorem 7) a version of Theorem 3.1 is obtained for the case of an arbitrary symmetric Banach ideal $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{J}$ in a properly infinite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$.

The following theorem gives a positive answer to Question 1.1.

**Theorem 3.2.** *If $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ are symmetric quasi-Banach ideals of compact operators from $\mathcal{B}(H)$, then for every derivation $\delta : \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{J}$ there exists an operator $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $\delta(\cdot) = \delta_a(\cdot) = [a, \cdot]$, in addition, $\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \leqslant \|\delta\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J})}$ and $ax \in \mathcal{J}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{I}$.*

*Proof.* Fix an arbitrary vector $\varphi_0 \in H$ with $\|\varphi_0\|_H = 1$ and consider projection $p_0(\cdot) := (\cdot, \varphi_0)\varphi_0$ onto one-dimensional subspace spanned by $\varphi_0$. Obviously, $p_0 \in \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{J}$.

Let $x \in \mathcal{I}, x(\varphi_0) = 0$ and $\varphi \in H$. Since

$$xp_0(\varphi) = x(p_0(\varphi)) = x\big((\varphi, \varphi_0)\varphi_0\big) = (\varphi, \varphi_0)x(\varphi_0) = 0,$$

it follows that $xp_0 = 0$, and so $\delta(xp_0)(\varphi_0) = 0$. Consequently, the linear operator $a(z(\varphi_0)) = \delta(zp_0)(\varphi_0)$ is correctly defined on the linear subspace $L := \{z(\varphi_0) : z \in \mathcal{I}\} \subset H$. If $\varphi \in H, z(\cdot) = (\cdot, \varphi_0)\varphi$, then $z \in \mathcal{I}$ and $z(\varphi_0) = \varphi$, which implies $L = H$. The definition of the operator $a$ immediately implies that $ax \in \mathcal{J}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{I}$.

For arbitrary $z \in \mathcal{B}(H), \varphi \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|zp_0|^2(\varphi) &= (p_0z^*zp_0)(\varphi) = (p_0z^*z)((\varphi, \varphi_0)\varphi_0) = (\varphi, \varphi_0)p_0(z^*z(\varphi_0)) = \\ &= (z\varphi_0, z\varphi_0)(\varphi, \varphi_0)\varphi_0 = (z\varphi_0, z\varphi_0)p_0(\varphi) = \|z(\varphi_0)\|_H^2 p_0(\varphi),\end{aligned}$$

in particular, $\|zp_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} = \big\||zp_0|\big\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} = \big\|\|z(\varphi_0)\|_H p_0\big\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} = \|z(\varphi_0)\|_H$. Applying this observation together with Theorem 3.1 guaranteeing $\|\delta(x)\|_{\mathcal{J}} \leqslant \|\delta\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J})}\|x\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{I}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|a(x(\varphi_0))\|_H &= \|\delta(xp_0)(\varphi_0)\|_H = \|\delta(xp_0)p_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \leqslant \|\delta(xp_0)\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}\|p_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \leqslant \\ &\leqslant \|\delta(xp_0)\|_{\mathcal{J}} \leqslant \|\delta\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J})}\|xp_0\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant \\ &\leqslant \|\delta\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J})}\|p_0\|_{\mathcal{I}}\|xp_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} = \|\delta\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J})}\|x(\varphi_0)\|_H.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $a$ is a bounded operator on $H$ and $\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \leqslant \|\delta\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J})}$.

Finally, for all $x, z \in \mathcal{I}$ we have

$$[a,x](z(\varphi_0)) = ax(z(\varphi_0)) - xa(z(\varphi_0)) = a(xz(\varphi_0)) - xa(z(\varphi_0)) =$$
$$= \delta(xzp_0)(\varphi_0) - x\delta(zp_0)(\varphi_0) = \delta(x)zp_0(\varphi_0) = \delta(x)z(\varphi_0)$$

and since $L = H$, it follows $\delta(\cdot) = [a, \cdot] = \delta_a(\cdot)$. □

Let $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ be arbitrary two-sided ideals in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. The set

$$D(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}) = \{a \in \mathcal{B}(H) : \quad ax - xa \in \mathcal{J}, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{I}\}$$

is called the $\mathcal{J}$-essential commutant of $\mathcal{I}$, and the set

$$\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I} = \{a \in \mathcal{B}(H) : \quad ax \in \mathcal{J}, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{I}\}$$

is called the $\mathcal{J}$-dual space of $\mathcal{I}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$ is a two-sided ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, in particular, $xa \in \mathcal{J}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{I}, a \in \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$ . If $\mathcal{I} \nsubseteq \mathcal{J}$, then $\mathbb{1} \notin \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$, i.e. $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{B}(H)$, and so $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$ is a proper ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. However, in the case when $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ we have $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{B}(H)$, in particular, $C_r : C_p = \mathcal{B}(H)$ for all $0 < p \leqslant r$, where $C_p = \{x \in \mathcal{K}(H) : \|x\|_p = \big(tr(|x|^p)\big)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty\}$ is the Schatten ideal of compact operators from $\mathcal{B}(H), 0 < p < \infty, tr$ is the standart trace on $\mathcal{B}_+(H)$.

**Proposition 3.3.** *If $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ are proper two-sided ideals of compact operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\mathcal{I} \nsubseteq \mathcal{J}$, then $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{K}(H)$.*

*Proof.* Since $\mathcal{I} \nsubseteq \mathcal{J}$, $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$ is a proper two-sided ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. If $H$ is a separable Hilbert space, then $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{K}(H)$ ([3], Theorem 1.4). Suppose that $H$ is not separable and $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I} \nsubseteq \mathcal{K}(H)$. By Proposition 2.3, the proper two-sided ideal $\mathcal{D} = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(H) : x(H) \text{ is separable }\} \subset \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$. Since $\mathcal{I} \nsubseteq \mathcal{J}$ there exists a positive compact operator $a \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{J}$. Since $a \in \mathcal{D}$, we have that $L := \overline{a(H)}$ is separable. Let $p \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ be the orthogonal projection onto $L$. Since $a \notin \mathcal{J}$, it follows that $L$ is infinite-dimensional subspace. Indeed, if it were not the case, then $a$ would be a finite rank operator and automatically belonging to $a \in \mathcal{J}$. Therefore $p \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{K}(H) \subset \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$, in addition, $0 \neq a = pap \in (p\mathcal{I}p) \setminus (p\mathcal{J}p)$, i.e. $p\mathcal{I}p \nsubseteq p\mathcal{J}p$. Since $L$ is a separable Hilbert space, we have $(p\mathcal{J}p) : (p\mathcal{I}p) \subset \mathcal{K}(L)$.

Let $y \in p\mathcal{I}p$, i.e. $y = py'p$ for some $y' \in \mathcal{I}$. Since $p \in \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$ we have $py' \in \mathcal{J}$, hence, $p(py')p \in p\mathcal{J}p$. Consequently, $p \in (p\mathcal{J}p) : (p\mathcal{I}p)$, i.e. $p$ is a compact operator in $L$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{K}(H)$. □

For arbitrary two-sided ideals $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ we denote by $d(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J})$ the set of all derivations $\delta$ from $\mathcal{B}(H)$ to $\mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $\delta(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{J}$. Obviously, $d(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}) \subset Der(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J})$. To characterize the set $d(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J})$ we need the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.4.** *([8], Theorem 1.1) $D(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}) = \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I} + \mathbb{C}\mathbb{1}$.*

It should be noted that Theorem 3.4 holds for arbitrary von Neumann algebras, i.e. for any two-sided ideals $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ in von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ we have $D(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}) = \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I} + Z(\mathcal{M})$, where $Z(\mathcal{M})$ is the center of $\mathcal{M}$([2], Corollary 5).

**Proposition 3.5.** *$d(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}) = \{\delta_a : a \in D(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J})\}$.*

*Proof.* Let $\delta_a(\cdot) = [a, \cdot]$ be inner derivation on $\mathcal{B}(H)$ generated by the operator $a \in D(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J})$. For all $x \in \mathcal{I}$ we have $\delta_a(x) = [a,x] = ax - xa \in \mathcal{J}$, i.e. $\delta_a \in d(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J})$.

Conversely, let $\delta \in d(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J})$. Since $\delta$ is a derivation from $\mathcal{B}(H)$ to $\mathcal{B}(H)$ there exists an operator $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $\delta = \delta_a$. If $x \in \mathcal{I}$, then $[a,x] = \delta(x) \in \mathcal{J}$, i.e. $a \in D(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J})$. □

Now, let $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ be arbitrary symmetric quasi-Banach ideals of compact operators from $\mathcal{B}(H)$. According to Theorem 3.2, for each derivation $\delta \in Der(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ there exists an operator $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $\delta(x) = \delta_a(x) = [a, x]$ and $ax \in \mathcal{J}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{I}$, i.e. $a \in \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$. Since $a \in \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$ we have $\delta \in d(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ (see Theorem 3.4). Thus $Der(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) = d(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ for any symmetric quasi-Banach ideals $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ of compact operators. Conversely, if $a \in \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$, then $a \in D(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ (see Theorem 3.4), consequently $\delta_a \in Der(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ (see Proposition 3.5).

Hence, the following theorem holds.

**Theorem 3.6.** *For arbitrary symmetric quasi-Banach ideals $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ of compact operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ each derivation $\delta : \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{J}$ has a form $\delta = \delta_a$ for some $a \in \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$, in addition $\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \leqslant \|\delta_a\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J})}$. Conversely, $\delta_a \in Der(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ for all $a \in \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$.*

If $0 < r < p < \infty$, then we have $C_r : C_p = C_q$, where $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}$ ([8], Proposition 5.6). Therefore, the following corollary follows immediately from Theorem 3.6.

**Corollary 3.7.** *If $0 < p \leqslant r < \infty$, then the mapping $\delta : C_p \to C_r$ is a derivation if and only if $\delta = \delta_a$ for some $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. If $0 < r < p < \infty$, then the mapping $\delta : C_p \to C_r$ is a derivation if and only if $\delta = \delta_a$ for some $a \in C_q$, where $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}$.*

## 4. The $\mathcal{J}$-dual space of $\mathcal{I}$ for symmetric quasi-Banach ideals $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}$

In this section we show that any symmetric quasi-Banach ideal $(\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}})$ of compact operators from $\mathcal{B}(H)$ has a form of $\mathcal{I} = C_{E_\mathcal{I}}$ with the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|\cdot\|_{C_{E_\mathcal{I}}}$ for a special symmetric quasi-Banach sequence space $(E_\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{E_\mathcal{I}})$ in $c_0$ constructed by $\mathcal{I}$ with the help of Calkin correspondence. The equality $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I} = C_{E_\mathcal{J} : E_\mathcal{I}}$ established in this section provides a full description of all derivations $\delta \in Der(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ in terms of $E_\mathcal{J}$-dual space $E_\mathcal{J} : E_\mathcal{I}$ of $E_\mathcal{I}$ of symmetric quasi-Banach sequence spaces $E_\mathcal{I}$ and $E_\mathcal{J}$ in $c_0$.

A quasi-Banach lattice $E$ is a vector lattice with a complete quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_E$, such that $\|a\|_E \leqslant \|b\|_E$ whenever $a, b \in E$ and $|a| \leqslant |b|$. In this case, $\big\||a|\big\|_E = \|a\|_E$ for all $a \in E$ and the lattice operations $a \vee b$ and $a \wedge b$ are continuous in the topology $\tau_d$, generated by the metric $d(a, b) = |||a - b|||_E^p$, where $|||\cdot|||_E$ is a $p$-additive quasi-norm equivalent to the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_E$. Consequently, the set $E_+ = \{a \in E : a \geqslant 0\}$ is closed in $(E, \tau_d)$. Thus, for any increasing sequence $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset E$ converging in the topology $\tau_d$ to some $a \in E$, we have $a = \sup\limits_{k \geq 1} a_k$ ([19], Ch.V,§4).

A sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ from a vector lattice $E$ is said to be $(r)$-convergent to $a \in E$ (notation: $a_n \xrightarrow{(r)} a$) with the regulator $b \in E_+$, if and only if there exists a sequence of positive numbers $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$ such that $|a_n - a| \leqslant \varepsilon_n b$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see e.g. [21], Ch.III, §11).

Observe, that in any quasi-Banach lattice $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ it follows from $a_n \xrightarrow{(r)} a, a_n, a \in E$ that $\|a_n - a\|_E \to 0$.

The following proposition is a quasi-Banach version of the well-known criterion of sequential convergence in Banach lattices.

**Proposition 4.1.** *(compare [21], Ch. VII, Theorem VII.2.1) Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a quasi-Banach lattice, $a, a_n \in E$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

*(i) $\|a_n - a\|_E \to 0$ for $n \to \infty$;*

*(ii) for any subsequence $a_{n_k}$ there exists a subsequence $a_{n_{k_s}}$ such that $a_{n_{k_s}} \xrightarrow{(r)} a$.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we may assume that $a = 0$.

*(i)* $\Rightarrow$ *(ii)* For an equivalent $p$-additive quasi-norm $|||\cdot|||_E$ we have $|||\ |a_n|\ |||_E \to 0$ for $n \to \infty$. Hence, we may choose an increasing sequence of positive integers $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots$ such that $|||\ |a_{n_k}|\ |||^p \leqslant \frac{1}{k^3}$. The estimate

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |||k^{\frac{1}{p}}|a_{n_k}||||^p = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\ |||\ \ |a_{n_k}|\ |||^p \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} < \infty,$$

shows that the series $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\frac{1}{p}}|a_{n_k}|$ converges in $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ to some $b \in E_+$ (see Proposition 2.4) and therefore there exists $b = \sup\limits_{n\geq 1} \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} k^{\frac{1}{p}}|a_{n_k}|$ such that we also have $k^{\frac{1}{p}}|a_{n_k}| \leqslant b$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $|a_{n_k}| \leqslant k^{-\frac{1}{p}}b$, which immediately implies $a_{n_k} \xrightarrow{(r)} 0$. The same reasoning may be repeated for any subsequence $\{a_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.

The proof of the implication *(ii)* $\Rightarrow$ *(i)* is the verbatim repetition of the analogous result for Banach lattices ([21], Ch. VII, Theorem VII.2.1). □

Let $L_1(0,\infty)$ be the Banach space of all integrable functions on $(0,\infty)$ with the norm $\|f\|_1 := \int\limits_0^{\infty} |f|dm$ and $L_\infty(0,\infty)$ be the Banach space of all essentially bounded measurable functions on $(0,\infty)$ with the norm $\|f\|_\infty := \operatorname{esssup}\{|f(t)| : 0 < t < \infty\})$. For each $f \in L_1(0,\infty) + L_\infty(0,\infty)$ we define the decreasing rearrangement $f^*$ of $f$ by setting

$$f^*(t) := \inf\{s > 0 : m(\{|f| > s\}) \leqslant t\}, t > 0.$$

The function $f^*(t)$ is equimeasurable with $|f|$, in particular, $f^* \in L_1(0,\infty) + L_\infty(0,\infty)$ and $f^*(t)$ is non-increasing and right-continuous.

We need the following properties of decreasing rearrangements (see e.g. [14], Ch.II, §2).

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let $f, g \in L_1(0,\infty) + L_\infty(0,\infty)$. We have*
*(i) if $|f| \leqslant |g|$, then $f^* \leqslant g^*$;*
*(ii) $(\alpha f)^* = |\alpha| f^*$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$;*
*(iii) if $f \in L_\infty(0,\infty)$, then $(fg)^* \leqslant \|f\|_\infty g^*$;*
*(iv) $(f+g)^*(t+s) \leqslant f^*(t) + g^*(s)$;*
*(v) if $fg \in L_1(0,\infty) + L_\infty(0,\infty)$, then $(fg)^*(t+s) \leqslant f^*(t)g^*(s)$.*

Let $l_\infty$ be the Banach lattice of all bounded real-valued sequences $\xi := \{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ equipped with the norm $\|\xi\|_\infty = \sup\limits_{n\geqslant 1} |\xi_n|$. For each $\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l_\infty$ the function $f_\xi(t) := \sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n \chi_{[n-1,n)}(t), t > 0$ is contained in $L_\infty(0,\infty)$. For the decreasing rearrangement $f_\xi^*$, we obviously have $f_\xi^*(t) = \sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n^* \chi_{[n-1,n)}(t), t > 0$, where $\xi^* := \{\xi_n^*\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the decreasing rearrangement of the sequence $\{|\xi_n|\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. By Proposition 4.2 (i),(ii) we have $\xi^* \leqslant \eta^*$ for $\xi, \eta \in l_\infty$ with $|\xi| \leqslant |\eta|$, and $(\alpha\xi)^* = |\alpha|\xi^*$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

A linear subspace $\{0\} \neq E \subset l_\infty$ is said to be solid rearrangement-invariant, if for every $\eta \in E$ and every $\xi \in l_\infty$ the assumption $\xi^* \leqslant \eta^*$ implies that $\xi \in E$. Every solid rearrangement-invariant space $E$ contains the space $c_{00}$ of all finitely supported sequences from $c_0$. If $E$ contains an element $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \notin c_0$, then $E = l_\infty$. Thus, for any solid rearrangement-invariant space $E \neq l_\infty$ the embeddings $c_{00} \subset E \subset c_0$ hold.

A solid rearrangement-invariant space $E$ equipped with a complete quasi-norm (norm) $\|\cdot\|_E$ is called symmetric quasi-Banach (Banach) sequence space, if
1) $\|\xi\|_E \leqslant \|\eta\|_E$, provided $\xi^* \leqslant \eta^*, \xi, \eta \in E$;
2) $\|\{1, 0, 0, \dots\}\|_E = 1$.

The inequality $\|a\xi\|_E \leqslant \|a\|_\infty \|\xi\|_E$ for all $a \in l_\infty, \xi \in E$ immediately follows from Proposition 4.2 (iii). In particular, if $E = l_\infty$, then the norm $\|\cdot\|_E$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_\infty$; for example, this is the case for any Lorentz space $(l_\psi, \|\cdot\|_\psi)$, where $\psi\colon [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary nonnegative increasing concave function with the properties $\psi(0) = 0, \psi(+0) \neq 0, \lim\limits_{t\to\infty} \psi(t) < \infty$ (see details in [14], Ch.II, §5).

The spaces $(c_0, \|\cdot\|_\infty), (l_p, \|\cdot\|_p), 1 \leqslant p < \infty$ (respectively, $(l_p, \|\cdot\|_p)$ for $0 < p < 1$), where

$$l_p = \big\{\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in c_0 : \|\{x_n\}\|_p = \big(\sum_{n=1}^\infty |\xi_n|^p\big)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty\big\}$$

are examples of the classical symmetric Banach (respectively, quasi-Banach) sequence spaces in $c_0$.

Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a symmetric quasi-Banach sequence space. For every $\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in E, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$\sigma_m(\xi) = (\underbrace{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_1}_{m \text{ times}}, \underbrace{\xi_2, \dots, \xi_2}_{m \text{ times}}, \dots),$$

$$\eta^{(1)} = (\xi_1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{m-1 \text{ times}}, \xi_2, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{m-1 \text{ times}}, \dots),$$

$$\eta^{(2)} = (0, \xi_1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{m-2 \text{ times}}, 0, \xi_2, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{m-2 \text{ times}}, \dots),$$

$$\dots,$$

$$\eta^{(m)} = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{m-1 \text{ times}}, \xi_1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{m-1 \text{ times}}, \xi_2, \dots).$$

Since $(\eta^{(1)})^* = (\eta^{(2)})^* = \dots = (\eta^{(m)})^* = \xi^* \in E$, it follows $\eta^{(1)}, \dots, \eta^{(m)} \in E$. Consequently, $\sigma_m(\xi) = \eta^{(1)} + \eta^{(2)} + \dots + \eta^{(m)} \in E$, i.e. $\sigma_m$ is a linear operator from $E$ to $E$. In addition, we have $\|\sigma_m(\xi)\|_E = \|\eta^{(1)} + \eta^{(2)} + \dots + \eta^{(m)}\|_E \leqslant C(\|\eta^{(1)}\|_E + \|\eta^{(2)} + \eta^{(3)} + \dots + \eta^{(m)}\|_E) \leqslant C(\|\eta^{(1)}\|_E + C(\|\eta^{(2)}\|_E + \|\eta^{(3)} + \dots + \eta^{(m)}\|_E)) \leqslant (C + C^2 + \dots + C^{m-1})\|\xi\|_E$, where $C$ is the modulus of concavity of the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_E$, in particular $\|\sigma_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(E,E)} \leqslant C + C^2 + \dots + C^{m-1}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

**Proposition 4.3.** *The inequalities*

$$(\xi + \eta)^* \leqslant \sigma_2(\xi^* + \eta^*), (\xi\eta)^* \leqslant \sigma_2(\xi^*\eta^*)$$

*hold for all* $\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, \eta = \{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l_\infty$.

*Proof.* Since $f_{\xi+\eta}(t) = \sum\limits_{n=1}^\infty (\xi_n + \eta_n)\chi_{[n-1,n)}(t) = f_\xi(t) + f_\eta(t), t > 0$, we have by Proposition 4.2 (iv) that

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty (\xi_n + \eta_n)^* \chi_{[n-1,n)}(2t) = f^*_{\xi+\eta}(2t) = (f_\xi + f_\eta)^*(2t) \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant f^*_\xi(t) + f^*_\eta(t) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty (\xi^*_n + \eta^*_n)\chi_{[n-1,n)}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty (\sigma_2(\xi^* + \eta^*))_n \chi_{[n-1,n)}(2t)$$

for all $t > 0$, where $\{(\sigma_2(\xi^* + \eta^*))_n\}_{n=1}^\infty = \sigma_2(\xi^* + \eta^*)$. In other words, $(\xi + \eta)^* \leqslant \sigma_2(\xi^* + \eta^*)$. The proof of the inequality $(\xi\eta)^* \leqslant \sigma_2(\xi^*\eta^*)$ is very similar (one needs to use Proposition 4.2 (v)) and is therefore omitted. □

For a symmetric quasi-Banach sequence space $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$, we set

$$C_E := \{x \in \mathcal{K}(H) : \{s_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in E\}, \quad \|x\|_{C_E} := \|s_n(x)\|_E, x \in C_E.$$

If $E = l_p$ (respectively, $E = c_0$) then $C_{l_p} = C_p, \|\cdot\|_{C_{l_p}} = \|\cdot\|_{C_p}, 0 < p < \infty$ (respectively, $C_{c_0} = \mathcal{K}(H), \|\cdot\|_{C_{c_0}} = \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}$).

A quasi-Banach vector sublattice $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ in $l_\infty$ is said to be $p$-convex, $0 < p < \infty$, if there is a constant $M$, so that

$$\Big\|\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|^p\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\Big\|_E \leqslant M\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \|x_i\|_E^p\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{2}$$

for every finite collection $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset E, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If the estimate (2) holds for elements from a symmetric quasi-Banach ideal $(\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}})$ of compact operators from $\mathcal{B}(H)$, then the ideal $(\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}})$ is said to be $p$-convex. As already stated in Theorem 1.5, for every symmetric Banach (respectively, symmetric $p$-convex quasi-Banach, $0 < p < \infty$) sequence space $E$ in $c_0$ the couple $(C_E, \|\cdot\|_{C_E})$ is a symmetric Banach (respectively, $p$-convex symmetric quasi-Banach) ideal of compact operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

Thus, for every symmetric Banach ($p$-convex quasi-Banach) sequence space $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ the corresponding symmetric Banach ($p$-convex quasi-Banach) ideal $(C_E, \|\cdot\|_{C_E})$ of compact operators from $\mathcal{B}(H)$ is naturally constructed. This extends the classical Calkin correspondence [3].

Conversely, if $(\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}})$ is a symmetric quasi-Banach ideal $(\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}})$ of compact operators from $\mathcal{B}(H)$, then it is of the form $C_E$ with $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|\cdot\|_{C_E}$ for the corresponding symmetric quasi-Banach sequence space $(E_{\mathcal{I}}, \|\xi\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}})$. The definition of the latter space is given below.

Denote by $E_{\mathcal{I}}$ the set of all $\xi \in c_0$, for which there exists some $x \in \mathcal{I}$, such that $\xi^* = \{s_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$. For $\xi \in E_{\mathcal{I}}$ with $\xi^* = \{s_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty, x \in \mathcal{I}$ set $\|\xi\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} = \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}}$.

Fix an orthonormal set $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $H$ and for every $\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in c_0$ consider the diagonal operator $x_\xi \in \mathcal{K}(H)$ defined as follows

$$x_\xi(\varphi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n c_n(\varphi) e_n,$$

where $c_n(\varphi) = (\varphi, e_n), \varphi \in H$. If $\xi \in E_{\mathcal{I}}$, then $\xi^* = \{s_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ for some $x \in \mathcal{I}$, and due to equalities $\{s_n(x_{\xi^*})\}_{n=1}^\infty = \{\xi_n^*\}_{n=1}^\infty = \{s_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ we have $x_{\xi^*} \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\|x_{\xi^*}\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|\xi\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}$ ( see Proposition 2.6 b)). Moreover, since $\{s_n(x_\xi)\}_{n=1}^\infty = \{s_n(x_{\xi^*})\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $x_{\xi^*} \in \mathcal{I}$, it follows that $x_\xi \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\|\xi\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} = \|x_\xi\|_{\mathcal{I}}$. Thus, a sequence $\xi \in c_0$ is contained in $E_{\mathcal{I}}$, if and only if operators $x_\xi$ and $x_{\xi^*}$ are in $\mathcal{I}$, in addition, $\|\xi\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} = \|x_{\xi^*}\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|x_\xi\|_{\mathcal{I}}$. In particular, if $\eta \in c_0, \xi \in E_{\mathcal{I}}, \eta^* \leqslant \xi^*$, then $\eta \in E_{\mathcal{I}}$ and $\|\eta\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} \leqslant \|\xi\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}$.

**Theorem 4.4.** *For any symmetric quasi-Banach ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of compact operators from $\mathcal{B}(H)$ the couple $(E_{\mathcal{I}}, \|\cdot\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}})$ is a symmetric quasi-Banach sequence space in $c_0$ with the modulus of concavity which does not exceed the modulus of concavity of the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$, in addition, $C_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} = \mathcal{I}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{C_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}} = \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$.*

*Proof.* If $\xi, \eta \in E_{\mathcal{I}}$, then $x_\xi, x_\eta \in \mathcal{I}$, hence $x_\xi + x_\eta \in \mathcal{I}$. Since

$$(x_\xi + x_\eta)(\varphi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n c_n(\varphi) e_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \eta_n c_n(\varphi) e_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\xi_n + \eta_n) c_n(\varphi) e_n = x_{\xi+\eta}(\varphi), \varphi \in H,$$

we have $x_{\xi+\eta} \in \mathcal{I}$. Consequently, $\xi + \eta \in E_{\mathcal{I}}$, moreover,

$$\|\xi + \eta\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} = \|x_{\xi+\eta}\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|x_\xi + x_\eta\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant C(\|x_\xi\|_{\mathcal{I}} + \|x_\eta\|_{\mathcal{I}}) = C(\|\xi\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} + \|\eta\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}),$$

where $C$ is the modulus of concavity of the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$.

Now, let $\xi \in E_{\mathcal{I}}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Since

$$x_{\alpha\xi}(\varphi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha \xi_n c_n(\varphi) e_n = \alpha x_\xi(\varphi), \varphi \in H,$$

we have $\alpha\xi \in E_{\mathcal{I}}$ and $\|\alpha\xi\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} = \|x_{\alpha\xi}\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|\alpha x_\xi\|_{\mathcal{I}} = |\alpha|\|x_\xi\|_{\mathcal{I}} = |\alpha|\|\xi\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}$.

It is easy to see that $\|\xi\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} \geqslant 0$ and $\|\xi\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \xi = 0$.

Hence, $E_{\mathcal{I}}$ is a solid rearrangement-invariant subspace in $c_0$ and $\|\cdot\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}$ is a quasi-norm on $E_{\mathcal{I}}$.

Let us show that $(E_{\mathcal{I}}, \|\cdot\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}})$ is a quasi-Banach space. Let $|||\cdot|||_{\mathcal{I}}$ (respectively, $|||\cdot|||_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}$) be a $p$-additive (respectively, $q$-additive) quasi-norm equivalent to the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ (respectively, $\|\cdot\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}$), $0 < p, q \leqslant 1$.

Let $\xi^{(k)} = \{\xi_n^{(k)}\}_{n=1}^\infty \in E_{\mathcal{I}}$ and $|||\xi^{(k)} - \xi^{(m)}|||_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}^p \to 0$ for $k, m \to \infty$. Then $|||x_{\xi^{(k)}} - x_{\xi^{(m)}}|||_{\mathcal{I}}^q \to 0$ for $k, m \to \infty$, i.e. $x_{\xi^{(k)}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(\mathcal{I}, d_{\mathcal{I}})$, where $d_{\mathcal{I}}(x,y) = |||x-y|||_{\mathcal{I}}^q$. Since $(\mathcal{I}, d_{\mathcal{I}})$ is a complete metric space, there exists an operator $x \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $|||x_{\xi^{(k)}} - x|||_{\mathcal{I}}^q \to 0$ for $k \to \infty$. If $p_n$ is the one-dimensional projection onto subspace spanned by $e_n$, then

$$\xi^{(k)} p_n = p_n x_{\xi_n^{(k)}} p_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}} p_n x p_n := \lambda_n p_n,$$
$$0 = p_n x_{\xi_n^{(k)}} p_m \to p_n x p_m, n \neq m.$$

Hence, $x$ is also a diagonal operator, i.e. $x = x_\xi$, where $\xi = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. Since $x \in \mathcal{I}$ we have $\xi \in E_{\mathcal{I}}$, moreover, $\|\xi^{(k)} - \xi\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}^p = \|x_{\xi^{(k)}} - x_\xi\|_{\mathcal{I}} \to 0$ for $k \to \infty$.

Consequently, $(E_{\mathcal{I}}, \|\cdot\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}})$ is a symmetric quasi-Banach sequence space in $c_0$.

Now, let us show that $C_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} = \mathcal{I}$ and $\|x\|_{C_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}} = \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{I}$. Let $x \in C_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}$, i.e. $\{s_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in E_{\mathcal{I}}$. Hence, there exists an operator $y \in \mathcal{I}$, such that $s_n(x) = s_n(y), n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, $x \in \mathcal{I}$, moreover, $\|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|\{s_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} = \|x\|_{C_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}}$. Conversely, if $x \in \mathcal{I}$, then $\{s_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in E_{\mathcal{I}}$ and therefore $x \in C_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}$. □

The definition of symmetric Banach ($p$-convex quasi-Banach) ideal $(C_E, \|\cdot\|_{C_E})$ of compact operators from $\mathcal{B}(H)$ jointly with Theorem 4.4 implies the following corollary:

**Corollary 4.5.** *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be a symmetric Banach ($p$-convex quasi-Banach) sequence space from $c_0$. Then $E_{C_E} = E$ and $\|\cdot\|_{E_{C_E}} = \|\cdot\|_E$*

*Proof.* If $\xi \in E$, then $x_{\xi^*} \in C_E$, and due to the equality $\{s_n(x_{\xi^*})\}_{n=1}^\infty = \xi^*$, we have $\xi \in E_{C_E}$ and $\|\xi\|_{E_{C_E}} = \|x_{\xi^*}\|_{C_E} = \|\xi^*\|_E = \|\xi\|_E$. The converse inclusion $E_{C_E} \subset E$ may be proven similarly. □

Let $G, F$ be solid rearrangement-invariant spaces in $c_0$. It is easy to see that $G$ and $F$ are ideals in the algebra $l_\infty$, in particular, it follows from the assumptions $|\xi| \leqslant |\eta|, \xi \in l_\infty, \eta \in G$ that $\xi \in G$, i.e. $G$ and $F$ are solid linear subspaces in $l_\infty$. We define $F$-dual space $F : G$ of $G$ by setting

$$F : G = \{\xi \in l_\infty : (\forall \eta \in G) \quad \xi\eta \in F\ \}.$$

It is clear that $F : G$ is an ideal in $l_\infty$ containing $c_{00}$. If $G \subset F$, then $F : G = l_\infty$, in particular, $l_\infty : G = l_\infty$ for any solid rearrangement-invariant space $G$. However, if $G \nsubseteq F$, then $F : G \neq l_\infty$.

**Proposition 4.6.** *If $F : G \neq l_\infty$, then $F : G \subset c_0$.*

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists $\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in (F : G), \xi \notin c_0$. Let $\alpha_n = \mathrm{sign}\xi_n, n \in \mathbb{N}, \eta = \{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in G$. Obviously, $\{\alpha_n\eta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in G$ and hence, $|\xi|\eta = \{\xi_n\alpha_n\eta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in F$ for all $\eta \in G$, that is $|\xi| \in (F : G)$,and, in addition, $|\xi| \notin c_0$. This implies that there exists a subsequence $0 \neq |\xi_{n_k}| \to \alpha > 0$ for $k \to \infty$. Consider a sequence $\eta = \{\eta_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ from $l_\infty \setminus c_0$ such that $\eta_k = |\xi_{n_k}|$ and show that $\eta \in F : G$.

For every $\zeta = \{\zeta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in G$ define the sequence $a_\zeta = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $a_{n_k} = \zeta_k$ and $a_n = 0$, if $n \neq n_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $a_\zeta^* = \zeta^*$, we have $a_\zeta \in G$, and therefore $\eta\zeta = \{|\xi_{n_k}|\zeta_k\}_{k=1}^\infty = \{|\xi_n|a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty = |\xi|a_\zeta \in F$ for all $\zeta \in G$. Consequently, $\eta = \{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in F : G$, moreover, $\eta_n \geqslant \alpha$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $F : G$ is an ideal in $l_\infty$, it follows that $F : G$ is a solid linear subspace in $l_\infty$, containing the sequence $\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with $\eta_n \geqslant \alpha > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$, that implies $F : G = l_\infty$. □

**Proposition 4.7.** *If $F : G \neq l_\infty$, then $F : G = \{\xi \in c_0 : \quad \xi^*\eta^* \in F, \quad \forall \eta \in G\}$.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.6, we have that $F : G \subset c_0$. Let $\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in c_0$ and $\xi^*\eta^* \in F$ for all $\eta \in G$. Due to Proposition 4.3, we have $(\xi\eta)^* \leqslant \sigma_2(\xi^*\eta^*) \in F$, i.e. $(\xi\eta)^* \in F$. Since $F$ is a symmetric sequence space, it follows that $\xi\eta \in F$ for all $\eta \in G$, i.e. $\xi \in F : G$.

Conversely, suppose that $\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in F : G$. Let $\alpha_n = \mathrm{sign}\xi_n, \eta = \{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in G$. Then $\{\alpha_n\eta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in G$, and therefore $|\xi|\eta = \{\xi_n\alpha_n\eta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in F$ for all $\eta \in G$, i.e. $|\xi| \in F : G \subset c_0$. Since $|\xi| = \{|\xi_n|\}_{n=1}^\infty \in c_0$, there exists a bijection of the set $\mathbb{N}$ of natural numbers, such that $\xi^* = |\xi_{\pi(n)}|$. For linear bijective mapping $U_\pi\colon l_\infty \to l_\infty$ defined by $U_\pi(\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty) = \{\eta_{\pi(n)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ we have $U_\pi(\eta\zeta) = U_\pi(\eta)U_\pi(\zeta), \big(U_\pi(\zeta)\big)^* = \zeta^*, \big(U_\pi^{-1}(\zeta)\big)^* = \zeta^*$ for all $\zeta \in l_\infty$, in particular, $U_\pi(E) = E$ for any solid rearrangement-invariant space $E \subset l_\infty$. Consequently, for all $\eta \in G$ we have $\xi^*\eta^* = U_\pi(|\xi|)U_\pi(U_\pi^{-1}(\eta^*)) = U_\pi(|\xi|U_\pi^{-1}(\eta^*)) \in F$. □

Propositions 4.6 and 4.7 imply the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.8.** *$F : G$ is a solid rearrangement-invariant space, moreover, if $F : G \neq l_\infty$, then $c_{00} \subset F : G \subset c_0$.*

*Proof.* The definition of $F : G$ immediately implies that $F : G$ is an ideal in $l_\infty$ and $c_{00} \subset F : G$. If $F : G \neq l_\infty$, then, due to Proposition 4.6, we have $F : G \subset c_0$.

In the case when $F : G \neq l_\infty$, we have for any $\xi \in c_0, \eta \in F : G, \xi^* \leqslant \eta^*, \zeta \in G$ that $\xi^*\zeta^* \leqslant \eta^*\zeta^* \in F$ (see Proposition 4.7). Consequently, $\xi^*\zeta^* \in F$ for any $\zeta \in G$, which implies the inclusion $\xi \in F : G$. □

We need some complementary properties of singular values of compact operators. For every operator $x \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ define the decreasing rearrangement $\mu(x,t)$ of $x$ by setting

$$\mu(x,t) = \inf\{s > 0 : \mathrm{tr}(|x| > s) \leqslant t\}, t > 0$$

(see e.g. [5]). If $x \in \mathcal{K}(H)$, then

$$\mu(x,t) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty s_n(x)\chi_{[n-1,n)}(t) = f^*_{\{s_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty}(t).$$

In ([5], Lemma 2.5 (v),(vii)) it is established that for every $x, y \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ the inequalities

$$\mu(x+y, t+s) \leqslant \mu(x,t) + \mu(y,s),$$

$$\mu(ax, t+s) \leqslant \mu(a,t)\mu(x,s)$$

hold, in particular, if $x, y \in \mathcal{K}(H)$, then

$$\{s_n(x+y)\}_{n=1}^\infty \leqslant \sigma_2\big(\{s_n(x) + s_n(y)\}_{n=1}^\infty\big), \tag{3}$$

$$\{s_n(xy)\}_{n=1}^\infty \leqslant \sigma_2\big(\{s_n(x)s_n(y)\}_{n=1}^\infty\big) \tag{4}$$

Let $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ be symmetric quasi-Banach ideals of compact operators from $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\mathcal{I} \nsubseteq \mathcal{J}$. In this case, $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{K}(H)$ (see Proposition 3.3) and $E_\mathcal{I} \nsubseteq E_\mathcal{J}$ (see Theorem 4.4), therefore $E_\mathcal{J} : E_\mathcal{I} \subset c_0$ (see Proposition 4.6). The following proposition establishes that the set of operators belonging to the $\mathcal{J}$-dual space $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$ of $\mathcal{I}$ coincides with the set

$$C_{E_\mathcal{J} : E_\mathcal{I}} = \{x \in \mathcal{K}(H) : \{s_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in E_\mathcal{J} : E_\mathcal{I}\}.$$

**Proposition 4.9.** $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I} = C_{E_\mathcal{J} : E_\mathcal{I}}$.

*Proof.* Let $a \in \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$. We claim that $a \in C_{E_{\mathcal{J}}:E_{\mathcal{I}}}$, i.e. $\xi = \{s_n(a)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in E_{\mathcal{J}} : E_{\mathcal{I}}$. For any sequence $\eta \in E_{\mathcal{I}}$ consider operators $x_\xi$ and $x_{\eta^*}$. Since $x_\xi \in \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}, x_{\eta^*} \in \mathcal{I}$, we have $x_\xi x_{\eta^*} \in \mathcal{J}$. On the other hand, $x_\xi x_{\eta^*}(\varphi) = \| \cdot \|_H - \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \big(\sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} s_k(a) c_k(x_{\eta^*}(\varphi)) e_k\big) = \sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n(a)\eta_n^* c_n(\varphi) e_n = x_{\xi\eta^*}(\varphi)$ for all $\varphi \in H$. Thus $x_{\xi\eta^*} \in \mathcal{J}$, i.e. $\xi\eta^* \in E_{\mathcal{J}}$. Consequently, $\{s_n(a)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in E_{\mathcal{J}} : E_{\mathcal{I}}$ (see Proposition 4.7) yielding our claim.

Conversely, let $a \in C_{E_{\mathcal{J}}:E_{\mathcal{I}}}$, i.e. $\{s_n(a)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in E_{\mathcal{J}} : E_{\mathcal{I}}$. Due to (4), for all $x \in \mathcal{I}$ we have $\{s_n(ax)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \leqslant \sigma_2(\{s_n(a)s_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$. Since $\{s_n(a)s_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in E_{\mathcal{J}}$, it follows that $\sigma_2(\{s_n(a)s_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}) \in E_{\mathcal{J}}$, and therefore $\{s_n(ax)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in E_{\mathcal{J}}$, i.e. $ax \in \mathcal{J}$. Consequently, $a \in \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$. □

Let $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ be symmetric quasi-Banach ideals of compact operators from $\mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{I} \nsubseteq \mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$ be the $\mathcal{J}$-space of $\mathcal{I}$. For any $a \in \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$ define a linear mapping $T_a \colon \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{J}$ by setting $T_a(x) = ax, x \in \mathcal{I}$.

**Proposition 4.10.** *$T_a$ is a continuous linear mapping from $\mathcal{I}$ to $\mathcal{J}$ for every $a \in \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$.*

*Proof.* Let $a \in \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}, \xi = \{s_n(a)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, x_k \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\|x_k\|_{\mathcal{I}} \to 0$ for $k \to \infty$. Then $\xi^{(k)} = \{s_n(x_k)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in E_{\mathcal{I}}$ and $\|\xi^{(k)}\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} \to 0$. By Proposition 4.1, for every subsequence $\{\xi^{(k_l)}\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ there exists a subsequence $\{\xi^{(k_{l_s})}\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\xi^{(k_{l_s})} \xrightarrow{(r)} 0$ for $s \to \infty$, i.e. there exist $0 \leqslant \eta \in E_{\mathcal{I}}$ and a sequence $\{\varepsilon_s\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ of positive numbers decreasing to zero such that $|\xi^{(k_{l_s})}| \leqslant \varepsilon_s \eta$. Since $a \in \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$, we have $\zeta = \xi\eta \in E_{\mathcal{J}}$, in addition, $\zeta \geqslant 0$. Since $|\xi\xi^{(k_{l_s})}| \leqslant \varepsilon_s \zeta$, it follows that $\xi\xi^{(k_{l_s})} \xrightarrow{(r)} 0$. By Proposition 4.1, we have $\|\xi\xi^{(k)}\|_{E_{\mathcal{J}}} \to 0$. Consequently,

$$\|ax_k\|_{\mathcal{J}} = \|\{s_n(ax_k)\}\|_{E_{\mathcal{J}}} \leqslant \|\sigma_2(\xi\xi^{(k)})\|_{E_{\mathcal{J}}} \leqslant 2C\|\xi\xi^{(k)}\|_{E_{\mathcal{J}}} \to 0 \text{ for } k \to \infty.$$

□

By Proposition 4.10, $T_a$ is a bounded linear operator from $\mathcal{I}$ to $\mathcal{J}$, therefore $\|T_a\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J})} = \sup\{\|T_a(x)\|_{\mathcal{J}} : \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\} = \sup\{\|ax\|_{\mathcal{J}} : \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\} < \infty$, i.e. for all $a \in \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$ the quantity

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}} := \sup\{\|ax\|_{\mathcal{J}} : x \in \mathcal{I}, \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\}$$

is well-defined.

**Theorem 4.11.** *Let $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ be symmetric quasi-Banach ideals of compact operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $\mathcal{I} \nsubseteq \mathcal{J}$. Then $(\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}, \| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}})$ is a symmetric quasi-Banach ideal of compact operators whose modulus of concavity does not exceed the modulus of concavity of the quasi-norm $\| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{J}}$, in addition, $\|ax\|_{\mathcal{J}} \leqslant \|a\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}\|x\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}, x \in \mathcal{I}$.*

*Proof.* Since $\| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J})}$ is a quasi-norm with the modulus of concavity which does not exceed the modulus of concavity of the quasi-norm $\| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{J}}$, we see that $\| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}$ is a quasi-norm on $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$ with the modulus of concavity which does not exceed the modulus of concavity of the quasi-norm $\| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{J}}$.

If $y \in \mathcal{B}(H), a \in \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\|ya\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}} &= \sup\{\|(ya)x\|_{\mathcal{J}} : x \in \mathcal{I}, \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\} \leqslant \\ &\leqslant \sup\{\|y\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}\|ax\|_{\mathcal{J}} : x \in \mathcal{I}, \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\} = \|y\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}\|a\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $yx \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\|yx\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant \|y\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}\|x\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ the for $y \neq 0$ and $\|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1$ we have $\|\frac{yx}{\|y\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}}\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\|ay\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}} &= \sup\{\|a(yx)\|_{\mathcal{J}} : x \in \mathcal{I}, \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\} \leqslant \\ &\leqslant \|y\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \sup\{\|ax\|_{\mathcal{J}} : x \in \mathcal{I}, \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\} = \|y\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}\|a\|_{\mathcal{I}:\mathcal{J}}.\end{aligned}$$

If $p$ is a one-dimensional projection from $\mathcal{B}(H)$, then $p \in \mathcal{I}$, $\|p\|_{\mathcal{I}} = 1$, and so

$$\|p\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}} = \sup\{\|px\|_{\mathcal{J}} : x \in \mathcal{I}, \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\} \geqslant \|p\|_{\mathcal{J}} = 1.$$

On the other hand, for $x \in \mathcal{I}$ with $\|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1$ we have $\|x\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \leqslant 1$ (see Proposition 2.6 c)), and therefore

$$\|px\|_{\mathcal{J}} = \|p(px)\|_{\mathcal{J}} \leqslant \|px\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}\|p\|_{\mathcal{J}} \leqslant 1.$$

Consequently, $\|p\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}} = 1$.

Thus, $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}$ is a symmetric quasi-norm on the two-sided ideal $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$. The inequality $\|ax\|_{\mathcal{J}} \leqslant \|a\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}\|x\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ immediately follows from the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}$.

Let us show that $(\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}})$ is a quasi-Banach space.

Denote by $|||\cdot|||_{\mathcal{J}}$ (respectively $|||\cdot|||_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}$) a $p$-additive (respectively, $q$-additive) quasi-norm on $\mathcal{J}$ (respectively, on $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$) which is equivalent to the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}}$ (respectively, $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}$), where $0 < p, q \leqslant 1$. In particular, we have $\alpha_1|||x|||_{\mathcal{J}} \leqslant \|x\|_{\mathcal{J}} \leqslant \beta_1|||x|||_{\mathcal{J}}$ and $\alpha_2|||a|||_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}} \leqslant \|a\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}} \leqslant \beta_2|||a|||_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{J}, a \in \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$ and some constants $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 > 0$. Let $d_{\mathcal{J}}(x, y) = |||x - y|||^p_{\mathcal{J}}, d_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}(a, b) = |||a - b|||^q_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}$ be metrics on $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$ respectively.

Let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $(\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}, d_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}})$, i.e. $|||a_n - a_m|||^q_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}} \leqslant \varepsilon^q$ for all $n, m \geqslant n(\varepsilon)$, thus

$$|||a_nx - a_mx|||_{\mathcal{J}} \leqslant \frac{1}{\alpha_1}\|a_nx - a_mx\|_{\mathcal{J}} \leqslant \frac{1}{\alpha_1}\|a_n - a_m\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}\|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant \tag{5}$$
$$\leqslant \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_1}\ |||\ a_n - a_n\ |||_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}\ \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_1}\varepsilon\|x\|_{\mathcal{I}}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{I}$ for $n, m \geqslant n(\varepsilon)$. Consequently, the sequence $\{a_nx\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(\mathcal{J}, d_{\mathcal{J}}), x \in \mathcal{I}$. Since the metric space $(\mathcal{J}, d_{\mathcal{J}})$ is complete, there exists an operator $z(x) \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $|||a_nx - z(x)|||^p_{\mathcal{J}} \to 0$ for $n \to \infty$. Since

$$\|a_nx - z(x)\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \leqslant \|a_nx - z(x)\|_{\mathcal{J}} \leqslant \beta_1\ |||\ a_nx - z(x)|||_{\mathcal{J}},$$

it follows that $\|a_nx - z(x)\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \to 0$.

Since

$$\|a_n - a_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \leqslant \|a_n - a_m\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}} \leqslant \beta_2\ |||\ a_n - a_m|||_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}} \to 0$$

for $n, m \to \infty$, there exists $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $\|a_n - a\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \to 0$ for $n \to \infty$. For an arbitrary $x \in \mathcal{I}$, we have $\|a_nx - ax\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \leqslant \|a_n - a\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}\|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} \to 0$ for $n \to \infty$.

Thus, $ax = z(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{I}$. Since $z(x) \in \mathcal{J}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{I}$, it follows that $a \in \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$, moreover, due to (5), $\|a_nx - ax\|_{\mathcal{J}} \leqslant \beta_2\varepsilon\|x\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ for $n \geqslant n(\varepsilon)$ and for all $x \in \mathcal{I}$. Consequently,

$$|||a_n - a|||_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}} \leqslant \frac{1}{\alpha_2}\|a_n - a\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}} = \frac{1}{\alpha_2}\sup\{\|a_nx - ax\|_{\mathcal{J}} : x \in \mathcal{I}, \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\} \leqslant \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2}\varepsilon$$

for $n \geqslant n(\varepsilon)$, i.e. $|||a_n - a|||_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}} \to 0$. Thus, the metric space $(\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}, d_{\mathcal{I}:\mathcal{J}})$ is complete, i.e. $(\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}})$ is a quasi-Banach space. □

**Remark 4.12.** *Since the quasi-norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}$ are symmetric, for all $a \in \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$ the relations*

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}} = \|a^*\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}} = \sup\{\|a^*x\|_{\mathcal{J}} : x \in \mathcal{I}, \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\} =$$
$$= \sup\{\|x^*a\|_{\mathcal{J}} : x \in \mathcal{I}, \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\} = \sup\{\|xa\|_{\mathcal{J}} : x \in \mathcal{I}, \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\}$$

*hold, i.e. for any $a \in \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$ we have*

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}} = \sup\{\|xa\|_{\mathcal{J}} : x \in \mathcal{I}, \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\}. \tag{6}$$

When $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ we have $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{B}(H)$ and for any $a \in \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$ the mapping $T_a(x) = ax$ is a bounded linear operator from $\mathcal{I}$ to $\mathcal{J}$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.11 we may establish that $\|a\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}} = \sup\{\|ax\|_{\mathcal{J}} : x \in \mathcal{I}, \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\}$ is a complete symmetric quasi-norm on $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$. In addition, in case $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{J}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|a\|_{\mathcal{I}:\mathcal{I}} &= \sup\{\|ax\|_{\mathcal{I}} : x \in \mathcal{I}, \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\} \leqslant \\ &\leqslant \sup\{\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}\|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} : x \in \mathcal{I}, \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\} \leqslant \|a\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)},\end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{I}:\mathcal{I}} \leqslant \|a\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{I} : \mathcal{I}. \tag{7}$$

Thus, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}$ and the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}:\mathcal{I}}$ are equivalent.

Now, let $G$ and $F$ be arbitrary symmetric quasi-Banach sequence spaces in $l_\infty$. For every $\xi \in F : G$ set

$$\|\xi\|_{F:G} = \sup\{\|\xi\eta\|_F : \eta \in G, \|\eta\|_G \leqslant 1\}.$$

The following theorem may be established similarly to Theorem 4.11.

**Theorem 4.13.** *If $G \nsubseteq F$, then $(F : G, \|\cdot\|_{F:G})$ is a symmetric quasi-Banach sequence space in $c_0$ with the modulus of concavity, which does not exceed the modulus of concavity of the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_F$, in addition, $\|\xi\eta\|_F \leqslant \|\xi\|_{F:G}\|\eta\|_G$ for all $\xi \in F : G, \eta \in G$.*

Let $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ be symmetric quasi-Banach ideals of compact operators from $\mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{I} \nsubseteq \mathcal{J}$. By Proposition 4.9, $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I} = C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}$, i.e. $C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}$ is a two-sided ideal of compact operators from $\mathcal{B}(H)$. For every $a \in C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}$ we set

$$\|a\|_{C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}} := \|\{s_n(a)\}\|_{E_\mathcal{I}:E_\mathcal{J}}.$$

**Proposition 4.14.** *$\|\cdot\|_{C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}}$ is a symmetric quasi-norm on $C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}$.*

*Proof.* Obviously, $\|a\|_{C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}} \geqslant 0$ for all $a \in C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}$ and $\|a\|_{C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}} = 0 \Leftrightarrow a = 0$. If $a, b \in C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$\|\lambda a\|_{C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}} = \|\{s_n(\lambda a)\}_{n=1}^\infty\|_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}} = |\lambda|\|a\|_{C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|a+b\|_{C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}} &= \|\{s_n(a+b)\}\|_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}} \overset{(3)}{\leqslant} \|\sigma_2(\{s_n(a)+s_n(b)\})\|_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}} \leqslant \\ &\leqslant 2C\|\{s_n(a)\}+\{s_n(b)\}\|_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}} \leqslant \\ &\leqslant 2C^2(\|\{s_n(a)\}\|_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}} + \|\{s_n(b)\}\|_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}) = \\ &= 2C^2(\|a\|_{C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}} + \|b\|_{C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\|\cdot\|_{C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}}$ is a quasi-norm on $C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}$ and the modulus of concavity of $\|\cdot\|_{C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}}$ does not exceed $2C^2$, where $C$ is the modulus of concavity of the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{E_\mathcal{J}}$.

Since $s_n(xay) \leqslant \|x\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}\|y\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}s_n(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{K}(H), x, y \in \mathcal{B}(H), n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Proposition 2.2), it follows

$$\|xay\|_{C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}} = \|\{s_n(xay)\}\|_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}} \leqslant \|x\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}\|y\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}\|a\|_{C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}}.$$

It is clear that $\|p\|_{C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}} = 1$ for every one-dimensional projection $p$.

Thus, $\|\cdot\|_{C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}}$ is a symmetric quasi-norm on $C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}$. □

**Remark 4.15.** *(i) If $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ are symmetric Banach ideals of compact operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\mathcal{I} \nsubseteq \mathcal{J}$, then $(\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}})$ is a symmetric Banach ideal of compact operators (Theorem 4.11), and therefore $(E_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}, \|\cdot\|_{E_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}})$ is a symmetric Banach sequence space in $c_0$ (Theorem 4.4).*

*(ii) If $G, F$ are symmetric Banach sequence spaces in $c_0$ and $G \nsubseteq F$, then $(F : G, \|\cdot\|_{F:G})$ is a symmetric Banach sequence space in $c_0$ (Theorem 4.13), and therefore $(C_{F:G}, \|\cdot\|_{C_{F:G}})$ is a symmetric Banach ideal of compact operators from $\mathcal{B}(H)$ (Theorem 1.5).*

**Theorem 4.16.** *Let $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ be symmetric quasi-Banach ideals of compact operators from $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\mathcal{I} \nsubseteq \mathcal{J}$. Then*

*(i) $E_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}} = E_{\mathcal{J}} : E_{\mathcal{I}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{E_{\mathcal{J}}:E_{\mathcal{I}}} \leqslant \|\cdot\|_{E_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}} \leqslant 2C\|\cdot\|_{E_{\mathcal{J}}:E_{\mathcal{I}}}$, where $C$ is the modulus of concavity of the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}}$;*

*(ii) $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I} = C_{E_{\mathcal{J}}:E_{\mathcal{I}}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{C_{E_{\mathcal{J}}:E_{\mathcal{I}}}} \leqslant \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 2C\|\cdot\|_{C_{E_{\mathcal{J}}:E_{\mathcal{I}}}}$, where $C$ is the modulus of concavity of the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{E_{\mathcal{J}}}$*

*Proof.* If $\xi = \xi^* \in E_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}$, then $x_\xi \in \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$ (see Theorem 4.4). Hence, for every $\eta = \eta^* \in E_{\mathcal{I}}$ we have $x_\eta \in \mathcal{I}$ and $x_{\xi\eta} = x_\xi x_\eta \in \mathcal{J}$, i.e. $\xi\eta \in E_{\mathcal{J}}$. Therefore, due to Proposition 4.7, $\xi \in E_{\mathcal{J}} : E_{\mathcal{I}}$, in addition,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\xi\|_{E_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}} = \|x_\xi\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}} &= \sup\{\|x_\xi y\|_{\mathcal{J}} : y \in \mathcal{I}, \|y\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\} \geqslant \\ &\geqslant \sup\{\|x_\xi x_\eta\|_{\mathcal{J}} : \eta \in E_{\mathcal{I}}, \|\eta\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} \leqslant 1\} = \\ &= \sup\{\|x_{\xi\eta}\|_{\mathcal{J}} : \eta \in E_{\mathcal{I}}, \|\eta\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} \leqslant 1\} = \\ &= \sup\{\|\xi\eta\|_{E_{\mathcal{J}}} : \eta \in E_{\mathcal{I}}, \|\eta\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} \leqslant 1\} = \|\xi\|_{E_{\mathcal{J}}:E_{\mathcal{I}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if $\xi = \xi^* \in E_{\mathcal{J}} : E_{\mathcal{I}}$, then $x_\xi \in C_{E_{\mathcal{J}}:E_{\mathcal{I}}} = \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$ (see Proposition 4.9), and so $\xi \in E_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\xi\|_{E_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}} = \|x_\xi\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}} &= \sup\{\|x_\xi y\|_{\mathcal{J}} : y \in \mathcal{I}, \|y\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\} = \\ &= \sup\{\|x_{\{s_n(x_\xi y)\}}\|_{\mathcal{J}} : y \in \mathcal{I}, \|y\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\} \\ &\overset{(4)}{\leqslant} \sup\{\|x_{\sigma_2(\{\xi s_n(y)\})}\|_{\mathcal{J}} : y \in \mathcal{I}, \|y\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\} \leqslant \\ &\leqslant 2C \sup\{\|\xi\{s_n(y)\}\|_{E_{\mathcal{J}}} : y \in \mathcal{I}, \|y\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\} \leqslant \\ &\leqslant 2C \sup\{\|\xi\eta\|_{E_{\mathcal{J}}} : \eta \in E_{\mathcal{I}}, \|\eta\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} \leqslant 1\} = 2C\|\xi\|_{E_{\mathcal{J}}:E_{\mathcal{I}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $E_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}} = E_{\mathcal{J}} : E_{\mathcal{I}}$ and $\|\xi\|_{E_{\mathcal{J}}:E_{\mathcal{I}}} \leqslant \|\xi\|_{E_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}} \leqslant 2C\|\xi\|_{E_{\mathcal{J}}:E_{\mathcal{I}}}$ for all $\xi \in E_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}$.

(ii) For an arbitrary $a \in \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{I}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|a\|_{C_{E_{\mathcal{J}}:E_{\mathcal{I}}}} &= \|\{s_n(a)\}\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}:E_{\mathcal{J}}} = \\ &= \sup\{\|\{s_n(a)\}\eta\|_{E_{\mathcal{J}}} : \eta \in E_{\mathcal{I}}, \|\eta\|_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} \leqslant 1\} = \\ &= \sup\{\|x_{\{s_n(a)\}}x_\eta\|_{\mathcal{J}} : x_\eta \in \mathcal{I}, \|x_\eta\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\} \leqslant \\ &\leqslant \sup\{\|x_{\{s_n(a)\}}y\|_{\mathcal{J}} : y \in \mathcal{I}, \|y\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\} = \\ &= \|x_{\{s_n(a)\}}\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}} = \|a\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\|a\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}} &= \sup\{\|ay\|_{\mathcal{J}} : y \in \mathcal{I}, \|y\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\} = \\ &= \sup\{\|\{s_n(ay)\}_{n=1}^\infty\|_{E_{\mathcal{J}}} : y \in \mathcal{I}, \|y\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\} \\ &\overset{(4)}{\leqslant} \sup\{\|\sigma_2(\{s_n(a)s_n(y)\}_{n=1}^\infty)\|_{E_{\mathcal{J}}} : y \in \mathcal{I}, \|y\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\} = \\ &= 2C \sup\{\|\{s_n(a)s_n(y)\}\|_{E_{\mathcal{J}}} : y \in \mathcal{I}, \|y\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leqslant 1\} \leqslant \\ &= 2C\|\{s_n(a)\}\|_{E_{\mathcal{J}}:E_{\mathcal{I}}} = 2C\|a\|_{C_{E_{\mathcal{J}}:E_{\mathcal{I}}}}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Since $(\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}})$ is a quasi-Banach space (see Theorem 4.11) and quasi-norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}}$ are equivalent (see Theorem 4.16 (ii)), we have the following corollary:

**Corollary 4.17.** *For any symmetric quasi-Banach ideals $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ of compact operators from $\mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{I} \nsubseteq \mathcal{J}$, the couple $(C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}, \|\cdot\|_{C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}})$ is a symmetric quasi-Banach ideal of compact operators from $\mathcal{B}(H)$.*

The following theorem gives the full description of the set $Der(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$.

**Theorem 4.18.** *(i) Let $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ be symmetric quasi-Banach ideals of compact operators from $\mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{I} \nsubseteq \mathcal{J}$. Then any derivation $\delta$ from $\mathcal{I}$ to $\mathcal{J}$ has a form $\delta = \delta_a$ for some $a \in C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}$ and $\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \leqslant \|\delta_a\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J})}$. Conversely, $\delta_a \in Der(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ for all $a \in C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}$. In addition, $\|\delta_a\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J})} \leqslant 2C\|a\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}$, where $C$ is the modulus of concavity of the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_\mathcal{J}$;*

*(ii) Let $G$ and $F$ be symmetric Banach (respectively, $F$ is a $p$-convex, $G$ is a $q$-convex quasi-Banach) sequence spaces in $c_0$ and $G \nsubseteq F$. Then any derivation $\delta\colon C_G \to C_F$ has a form $\delta = \delta_a$ for some $a \in C_{F:G}$ and $\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \leqslant \|\delta_a\|_{\mathcal{B}(C_G,C_F)}$. Conversely, $\delta_a \in Der(C_G, C_F)$ for all $a \in C_{F:G}$. In addition, $\|\delta_a\|_{\mathcal{B}(C_G,C_F)} \leqslant 2C\|a\|_{C_F:C_G}$, where $C$ is the modulus of concavity of the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{C_F}$.*

*Proof.* (i) According to the Theorem 3.6, any derivation $\delta\colon \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{J}$ has a form $\delta = \delta_a$ for some $a \in \mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}$. Since $\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I} = C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}$ (see Theorem 4.16), we have $a \in C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{I}}$ and $\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \leqslant \|\delta_a\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J})}$.

Conversely, if $a \in C_{E_\mathcal{J}:E_\mathcal{J}}$, then $a \in \mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}$, and, according to Theorem 3.6, $\delta_a \in Der(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$.

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta_a\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J})} &= \sup\{\|\delta_a(x)\|_\mathcal{J} : x \in \mathcal{I}, \|x\|_\mathcal{I} \leqslant 1\} = \\ &= \sup\{\|ax - xa\|_\mathcal{J} : x \in \mathcal{I}, \|x\|_\mathcal{I} \leqslant 1\} \leqslant \\ &\leqslant \sup\{C(\|ax\|_\mathcal{J} + \|xa\|_\mathcal{J}) : x \in \mathcal{I}, \|x\|_\mathcal{I} \leqslant 1\} = \\ &\overset{(6)}{=} 2C \sup\{\|ax\|_\mathcal{J} : x \in \mathcal{I}, \|x\|_\mathcal{I} \leqslant 1\} = 2C\|a\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Item (ii) follows from (i) and Theorems 1.5 and 4.16. The inequality $\|\delta_a\|_{\mathcal{B}(C_F,C_G)} \leqslant 2C\|a\|_{C_G:C_F}$ is proven in the same manner. □

It is of interest to compare the estimates obtained in Theorem 4.18 with the result of L.Zsido [22], who established that for any derivation $\delta = \delta_a, a \in B(H)$ acting in a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ the inequality $\|\delta_a\|_{\mathcal{M}\to\mathcal{M}} \leqslant 2\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}$ holds. The inequalities established in Theorem 4.18 for derivations acting in arbitrary symmetric quasi-Banach ideals of compact operators are very similar to those of Zsido. Note, that our proof of these inequalities is based on the technique of $\mathcal{J}$-dual spaces and differs quite markedly from the techniques used in [22].

We illustrate Theorem 4.18 with an example drawn from the theory of Lorentz and Marcinkiewicz sequence spaces. Let $\omega = \{\omega_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a decreasing weight sequence of positive numbers. Letting $W(j) = \sum\limits_{n=1}^{j} w_n, j \in \mathbb{N}$, we shall assume that $W(\infty) = \sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n = \infty$.

The Lorentz sequence space $l_\omega^p, 1 \leqslant p < \infty$, consists of all sequences $\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in c_0$ such that

$$\|\xi\|_{l_\omega^p} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\xi_n^*)^p w_n\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

The Marcinkiewicz sequence space $m_W^p, 1 \leqslant p < \infty$, is the space of all sequences $\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in c_0$ satisfying

$$\|\xi\|_{m_W^p} = \sup_{k\geq 1}\left(\frac{\sum\limits_{n=1}^{k} (\xi_n^*)^p}{W_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

It is well known (see e.g.[12] and [15], Proposition 1) that $(l_\omega^p, \|\cdot\|_{l_\omega^p})$ and $(m_W^p, \|\cdot\|_{m_W^p})$ are symmetric Banach sequence spaces in $c_0$.

Hence, $(C_{l_\omega^p}, \|\cdot\|_{C_{l_\omega^p}})$ and $(C_{m_W^p}, \|\cdot\|_{C_{m_W^p}})$ are symmetric Banach ideals of compact operators (Theorem 1.5). Since $l_1 : l_\omega = m_W^1$ (see e.g. [12]) it follows that $l_p : l_\omega^p = m_W^p$ for every $1 \leqslant p < \infty$ ([15], §2). By Theorem 4.16, $C_p : C_{l_\omega^p} = C_{m_W^p}$ and $\|a\|_{C_p:C_{l_\omega^p}} \leqslant 2\|a\|_{C_{m_W^p}}$ for all $a \in C_p : C_{l_\omega^p}$. From Theorem 4.18 (ii), we obtain the following example significantly extending similar results from [8].

**Corollary 4.19.** *A linear mapping* $\delta \colon C_{l_\omega^p} \to C_p, 1 \leqslant p < \infty$ *is a derivation if and only if* $\delta = \delta_a$ *for some* $a \in C_{m_W^p}$, *in addition,* $\|\delta\|_{\mathcal{B}(C_{l_\omega^p}, C_p)} \leqslant 2\|a\|_{C_p:C_{l_\omega^p}} \leqslant 4\|a\|_{C_{m_W^p}}$.

In conclusion, note that, by Theorem 3.2 and (8), any derivation $\delta$ from a symmetric quasi-Banach ideal $\mathcal{I}$ into a symmetric quasi-Banach ideal $\mathcal{J}$, such that $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$, has a form $\delta = \delta_a$ for some $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and, in addition, $\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \leqslant \|\delta_a\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J})} \leqslant 2C\|a\|_{\mathcal{J}:\mathcal{I}}$, where $C$ is the modulus of concavity of the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}}$. Moreover, for the case when $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{J}$ we have $\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} \leqslant \|\delta_a\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J})} \leqslant 2C\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}$, where $C$ is the modulus of concavity of the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ (see (7)). This complements results from [1].

## REFERENCES

[1] A.F. Ber, F.A. Sukochev, *Derivations in the Banach ideals of $\tau$-compact operators.* arXiv:1204.4052 v1. 18 Apr. 2012. 12 p

[2] A.F. Ber, F.A. Sukochev, *Commutator estimates in $W^*$ algebras.* J. Funct. Anal. **262** (2012), 537-568.

[3] J.W. Calkin, *Two-sided ideals and congruences in the ring of bounded operators in Hilbert space.* Ann. of Math. **42** (1941), 839-873.

[4] P.G. Dodds, T.K. Dodds and B. de Pagter, *Non-commutative Köthe duality*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **339** (1993), 717-750.

[5] T. Fack, H. Kosaki, *Generalised s-numbers of $\tau$-measurable operators.* Pacific. J.Math. **123** (1986), 265-300.

[6] D. J. H. Garling, *On ideals of operators in Hilbert space.* Proc. London Math. Soc. **17** (1967), 115-138.

[7] I. Gohberg, M. Krein, *Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators.* Trans. Math. Monog. **18** American Mathematical Society. Providence. R.I. 1969.

[8] M.J. Hoffman, *Essential Commutants and Multiplier Ideals.* Indiana Univ. Math. J. **30** (1981), 859-869.

[9] R.Y. Kadison, J.R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algerbas I,II.* Academic Press. Orlando. 1983.

[10] N.J. Kalton,*Quasi-Banach spaces. Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces. Vol.2.* Elsevier. (2003), 1099–1130.

[11] N.J. Kalton, F.A. Sukochev, *Symmetric norms and spaces of operators.* J. Reine Angew. Math. **621** (2008), 81–121.

[12] A.Kamińska, A.M.Parrish, *Convexity and concavity constants in Lorentz and Marcinkiewicz spaces.* J.Math.Anal.Appl. **343** (2008), 337-351.

[13] E.Kissin, V.S.Shulman, *Differential Schatten $*$-algebras. Approximation property and approximate identities.* J.Operator Theory **45** (2001), 303-334.

[14] S.G. Krein, Y.I. Petunin, E.M. Semenov, *Interpolation of linear operators.* Trans. Math. Monogr. **54.** American Mathematical Society. Providence. R.I. 1982.

[15] L.Maligranda, L.E.Persson, *Generalized duality of some Banach function spaces.* Indag. Math. **51** (1989), 323-338.

[16] M.A. Muratov, V.I. Chilin, *Algebras of measurable and locally measurable operators.* Proceedings of the Mathematical Institute. National Academy of Science. Ukraine. **69**. 2007.

[17] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. Vol. 1: Functional Analysis.* Academic Press. 1980.

[18] S. Sakai, *C*-Algebras and W*-Algebras.* Springer-Verlag. New York-Heidelberg-Berlin. 1971.

[19] H.H. Schaefer, *Topological vector spaces.* The Machmillan Company. New York. Collier-Machmillan Limited. London. 1966.

[20] B. Simon, *Trace ideals and their applications,* Second edition. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **120**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.

[21] B.Z. Vulich, *Introduction to the theory of partially ordered spaces.* Wolters-Noordhoff. Groningen. 1967.

[22] L. Zsido, *The norm of a derivation in a $W^*$-algebra.* Proc.Amer.Math.Soc. **38** (1973), 147-150.

Department of Mathematics,National University of Uzbekistan, Vuzgorodok, 100174, Tashkent, Uzbekistan
*E-mail address*: `ber@ucd.uz`

Department of Mathematics, National University of Uzbekistan, Vuzgorodok, 100174, Tashkent, Uzbekistan
*E-mail address*: `chilin@ucd.uz`

Department of Mathematics, National University of Uzbekistan, Vuzgorodok, 100174, Tashkent, Uzbekistan
*E-mail address*: `bob_galina@mail.ru`

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia
*E-mail address*: `f.sukochev@unsw.edu.au`